IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.2453 OF 2005

Shri Prabhudas Arjun Bhoir ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

.....

Mr.S.V.Pradhan for Applicant.

Mr.K.V.Saste, A.P.P. for Respondent.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

MAY 3, 2005.

P.C.

1. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused the record.

2. Applicant claims parity along with co-accused Jitendra Ramesh Nishad, who has been released on bail by this Court vide order dated 22nd June 2004.

3. Indeed, the Applicant is similarly placed

along with co-accused. Even so, the present Applicant cannot be shown indulgence in view of the antecedents against him.

4. In all, eight criminal cases are pending against the Applicant. While on bail in the said criminal cases, the Applicant has indulged in present offence. That, by itself, can be ground for cancellation of bail in the criminal cases pending against the Applicant, and because of the antecedents against the Applicant, the Applicant is not entitled for discretionary relief from this Court. Hence, prayer for bail of the present Applicant is rejected, having regard to the seriousness of the offence.

5. As prayer for bail is rejected, Sessions Judge, Alibag, District Raigad is directed to assign the trial to the Fast Track Court, if already not done, so as to ensure that the trial is concluded expeditiously as High Court Expedited Case.

6. The Applicant shall extend full

co-operation for early disposal of the trial. If the Trial Court is of the opinion that the Applicant is, in any manner, responsible for causing delay in disposal of the trial, that fact be recorded in the Roznama, which will be reckoned as and when occasion arises.

7. Application disposed of accordingly.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.